Interview Summary	10/787,184	TERASHIMA ET AL.		ΓAL.
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Thanh-Tam T. L	e	2839	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) <u>Thanh-Tam T. Le</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Carl Schaukowitch</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 20 September 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's r	epresentative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1,3 and 5.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Kajinuma (6,132,258)	<u>-</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims \mathfrak{f} was reached.	g)□ was not read	ched. h)∐ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Discussed and agreed relained invention to more distinctly define over the prior applace the case in condition for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICE INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICE INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICE INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICE INTERVIEW ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICE	with Mr. Carl Scha art of record to income adments which the copy of the amend ed.) ACTION MUST IN the last Office action R THE MAILING D	examiner ago dments that w NCLUDE THE n has already NATE OF THIS	n the changes to 5 into claim 1 to 5 into changes to 5 into change	to clarify the thereby to the claims of THE PLICANT IS SUMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ex	amıner's sıgn	ature, if require	a

Application No.

Applicant(s)